

CEREBRO-X

Computational Drug-DDS Engineering Report

Field	Value
Drug Name	Donepezil
Molecular Class	small_molecule
Molecular Weight	379.5 Da
Recommended DDS	RVG29-PLGA-NP
Carrier Type	plga
Surface Ligand	RVG29
BBB Enhancement	N/A%
Composite Score	100.0/100
Disease Indication	CNS
Report Generated	2026-07-25 22:11 UTC
Created by	Muhammad Talaat CEREBRO-X
Modules Completed	50/62 science modules

■ This report is generated from computational models. All predictions must be validated experimentally before clinical application.

1. Drug Molecular Profile

Property	Value	Source / Method
Name	Donepezil	Input
MW (Da)	379.5	ChEMBL / UniProt
LogP	4.36	ADMET predictor
Half-life (days)	18.100	PubMed NLP
Protein Binding (%)	N/A	Calculated
BBB Penetration (%)	36.80	Pardridge 2012
Molecule Class	small_molecule	Input / inferred
Aqueous Solubility	N/A	ADMET
P-gp Substrate	Unknown	QSAR panel
ADMET Score	N/A	Multi-endpoint
ADMET Grade	N/A	A-F scale

1a. Drug Delivery Barriers Identified (Auto-detected)

The pipeline automatically identified 1 delivery barriers based on molecular data. Each is resolved by the recommended DDS.

[HIGH] Cardiac off-target risk (hERG/Nav/Cav channels)	
Evidence:	QSAR panel: cardiac receptor score > safety threshold
Without DDS:	Full systemic distribution -- cardiac tissues exposed
With DDS:	CNS bioavailability 10.0% with reduced cardiac exposure
DDS Solution:	CNS-targeted plga reduces systemic exposure
Scientific basis:	Brain-targeted delivery concentrates drug in CNS. Systemic free drug reduced by 3750% (encapsulation). Off-targ

2. DDS Ranking — Composite Score Methodology

All DDS formulations ranked by Composite Score = weighted combination of DLVO colloidal stability, transcytosis driving force, endosomal escape, stealth index, BBB receptor affinity, and CNS bioavailability. Scores are physics-derived — not estimated.

DDS Name	Carrier	Ligand	Score	DLVO kT
RVG29-PLGA-NP	plga	RVG29	100.00	5.83
ApoE-SLN	solid_lipid	ApoE	100.00	-0.12
Tf-SLN-Stealth	solid_lipid	Transferrin	100.00	-3.49
RVG29-Liposome-pH	liposome	RVG29	100.00	-2.43
Tf-PEG-Liposome-Done	liposome	Transferrin	100.00	-5.69
Lf-Micelle-Thermo	micelle	Lactoferrin	97.00	-5.05
PEG-Polymer-Micelle	micelle	None	95.00	-5.07
Bare-PLGA	plga	None	55.00	23.22

2a. Recommended DDS — Detailed Profile

Parameter	Value	Biophysical Meaning
Composite Score	100.0	Overall Drug+DDS suitability (0-100)
BBB Enhancement	N/A%	% of dose crossing BBB via receptor transcytosis
CNS Bioavailability	N/A%	% dose reaching brain as free drug
DLVO Stability	5.8 kT	>25kT = colloiddally stable in blood
Endosomal Escape	N/A	Fraction escaping lysosomes (0-1)
Stealth Index	N/A	MPS evasion (0=opsonised, 1=invisible)
Protein Corona	N/A nm	Corona thickness; thicker = faster clearance
MPS Clearance	N/A h	Hours before liver/spleen removes DDS
Payload Efficiency	N/A%	% dose delivered as active drug to CNS
CARPA Risk	0.42	Complement activation risk (0=safe)

3. PBPK-CNS Digital Twin — 6-Compartment Simulation

Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic model solved with scipy Radau ODE integrator (stiff system). Compartments: Plasma, BBB endothelium, Brain ISF, Brain cells, CSF, Peripheral. All parameters from molecular data — not estimated. Reference: Pardridge 2012; Bhatt 2013.

PK Metric	Value	Compartment	Source
Model	6-compartment ODE (Radau stiff-solver) Pardridge 2012		CEREBRO-X PBPK
Cmax (brain)	0.450500 µg/mL	Brain/CNS	Peak brain concentration
AUC (brain)	25.75960 µg·h/mL	Brain/CNS	Total brain exposure
AUC (plasma)	17.690 µg·h/mL	Plasma	Systemic exposure
Kp,brain	1.45622	Brain/Plasma	Partition coefficient
BBB penetration	0.0000%	BBB	
Kp,uu brain	3.11602	Unbound	Unbound Kp (pharmacodynamically relevant)
t_ above 10%Cmax	71.8 h	Brain ISF	Duration above therapeutic threshold
Glymphatic t1/2	72.0 h	Brain	Clearance half-life in brain
BBB PS_in	262.752 mL/h	BBB	Permeability-surface area product
BBB PS_out	98.532 mL/h	BBB	Efflux transport rate
Disease state	healthy	BBB	BBB integrity modifier applied

3a. PBPK Time-Course (sampled points)

t (h)	Plasma (µg/mL)	Brain ISF (µg/mL)	Ratio (Kp)
0.0	0.2500	0.00000	0.00000
6.5	0.2228	0.28386	1.27415
13.0	0.2221	0.31776	1.43074
19.5	0.2253	0.33214	1.47450
26.0	0.2301	0.34529	1.50067
32.5	0.2361	0.35870	1.51903
39.3	0.2434	0.37304	1.53248
45.8	0.2513	0.38735	1.54159
52.3	0.2598	0.40215	1.54802
58.8	0.2689	0.41747	1.55254
65.3	0.2786	0.43336	1.55572
72.0	0.2891	0.45046	1.55801

4. In-Silico Drug Release Profile

Release model: First Order | Release order: Sustained first-order | Max EE: 75%

Metric	Blood (pH 7.4)	Endosomal (pH 5.5)	Significance
t50 (50% release)	23.2 h	46.3 h	Faster endo = better escape
t90 (90% release)	48.0 h	N/A	Complete release window
Rate constant k	0.0300 /h	0.0150 /h	Higher endo = pH-responsive
Max released	75%	75%	= encapsulation efficiency

5. Shelf-Life & Degradation Predictor

Grade: MARGINAL (3-6 months) | t90 = 122 days | Dominant pathway: Drug leakage | Storage: 4 degC

Pathway	Rate (k, /day)	Relative %
Hydrolysis	0.000061	7%
Oxidation	0.000160	19%
Aggregation	0.000273	32%
Drug leakage	0.000368	43%

6. Colloidal Stability — DLVO Theory + Protein Corona

DLVO potential energy $V_{\text{total}} = 5.8 \text{ kT}$ (UNSTABLE ~~x~~ — REFORMULATE) | Threshold: $>25 \text{ kT}$ prevents aggregation in blood. Reference: Verwey & Overbeek 1948; Derjaguin 1987.

Parameter	Value	Equation	Significance
DLVO V_{total} (kT)	5.8	$V_{\text{vdW}} + V_{\text{EDL}}$	$>25\text{kT} = \text{stable}$
V_{vdW} (attraction)	N/A	$-A \cdot R / (12h)$	Hamaker constant
V_{EDL} (repulsion)	N/A	$64\pi \epsilon R \gamma^2 e^{-\kappa h}$	Zeta potential
Debye length (nm)	N/A	$1/\kappa$	Ionic screening
Protein corona (nm)	N/A	Langmuir model	Stealth reduction
Opsonin index	N/A	IgG + C3b binding	$<0.3 = \text{good}$
Stealth index	N/A	PEG brush model	$0.6+ = \text{good}$
MPS clearance (h)	N/A	Michaelis-Menten	$>12\text{h}$ adequate

7. Nanotoxicity & Immunogenicity Screening

Immunogenicity grade: **RISK -- REFORMULATE** | Score: 52/100

Test	Score / Result	Risk	Threshold
CARPA (complement)	0.945	HIGH	$>0.6 = \text{HIGH}$
Anti-PEG antibody	0.250	LOW	$0.5+ = \text{caution}$
MPS uptake	0.507	—	$>0.6 = \text{rapid clearance}$
Cytokine storm	—	LOW	Charge-dependent
Platelet activation	—	LOW	Cationic $>200\text{nm}$

Mitigations:

→ Consider pre-medication with antihistamines (H1/H2 blockers)

8. Off-Target Toxicity — 50-Receptor QSAR Panel

Overall: CRITICAL | Cardiac risk: YES ■ | Hepatic risk: YES ■ | CNS off-target: YES ■

Receptor	Free Drug Score	In-DDS Score	Risk
hERG_K+	0.573	0.258	HIGH
Nav1.5_Na+	0.248	0.112	LOW
Cav1.2_Ca2+	0.096	0.043	LOW
beta1_AR	0.216	0.097	LOW
CYP3A4_inhib	0.110	0.050	LOW
CYP2D6_inhib	0.715	0.322	HIGH
CYP2C9_inhib	0.230	0.104	LOW
CYP1A2_inhib	0.090	0.041	LOW
CYP2C19_inhib	0.203	0.092	LOW
DAT_dopamine	0.456	0.205	MODERATE
SERT_serotonin	0.785	0.353	HIGH
NET_norepineph	0.599	0.269	HIGH
MAO-A	0.030	0.013	LOW
MAO-B	0.202	0.091	LOW
D2R_dopamine	0.793	0.357	HIGH
5HT2A_serotonin	0.856	0.385	HIGH
GABA-A	0.411	0.185	MODERATE
NMDA_receptor	1.000	0.450	HIGH
nAChR_alpha4	0.620	0.279	HIGH
H1_histamine	0.558	0.251	HIGH

High-risk flags (10):

- hERG_K+: HIGH (free_drug=0.57)
- CYP2D6_inhib: HIGH (free_drug=0.71)
- SERT_serotonin: HIGH (free_drug=0.79)
- NET_norepineph: HIGH (free_drug=0.60)
- D2R_dopamine: HIGH (free_drug=0.79)
- 5HT2A_serotonin: HIGH (free_drug=0.86)
- NMDA_receptor: HIGH (free_drug=1.00)
- nAChR_alpha4: HIGH (free_drug=0.62)

9. Advanced Science Modules — Key Outputs

9a. Competitive DDS Landscape (ClinicalTrials.gov)

Our DDS: BBB Score = 100 | Position: SUPERIOR | Active trials found: 3

NCT ID	Title	Phase	N
NCT06846658	Exploring the Olfactory Mucosa, Blood and Uri	['?']	180
NCT06855368	Biomarkers for Monitoring Dementia Progressio	['?']	300
NCT04899908	Stereotactic Brain-directed Radiation With or	['PHASE2']	134

9b. Patient Subgroup Stratification

Overall response: 33.4% | Best: Very elderly (>80) (37%) | Worst: Young adults (18-40) (29%) | Recommendation:

Highest efficacy in Very elderly (>80) (predicted response 37%). Dose reduce by

Subgroup	Pop. Freq.	CNS Bioavail	Response%	Tox Risk	Dose Adj.
Young adults (18-40)	20% of patients	8.3%	29.3%	LOW	x1.29 standard dose
Middle-aged (40-65)	35% of patients	9.5%	33.5%	LOW	x1.14 standard dose
Elderly (65-80)	30% of patients	9.7%	34.3%	LOW	x0.72 standard dose
Very elderly (>80)	15% of patients	10.5%	36.9%	MODERATE	x0.46 standard dose

9c. Quantum Coherence Transport Model

WKB tunneling probability = 6.314e-06 | Barrier = 0.82 kcal/mol | Classical prob = 0.2646 | Quantum tunneling probability = 6.31e-06 (negligible contribution). Classical barrier = 0.82 kcal/mol.

9d. Lysosomal Trafficking Predictor

Cytosolic route: 34% | Lysosomal degradation: 64% | Nuclear entry: 2% | Concern: HIGH -- significant lysosomal degradation expected | Mitigation: Add proton sponge polymer (PEI or PAMAM) to improve endosomal escape

10. Synthetic Clinical Trial — N=500 Virtual Patients

Monte Carlo simulation of Phase 1 trial. Patient covariates: age, weight, CYP3A4 genotype, renal function, BBB integrity by age. Pharmacokinetics computed per-patient from PBPK model. Reference: FDA PBPK guidance 2018; Lalonde 2007.

NO-GO / REFORMULATE Go/No-Go	26.0% Response Rate	0.0% Severe AE	1.07 mg/kg Optimal Dose	500 N Patients
--	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------

Endpoint	Result	Benchmark	Status
Overall response rate	26.0%	>60% = GO	✗
Severe AE rate	0.0%	<5% = GO	✓
Young adults (<65)	29.4%	—	—
Elderly (>65)	24.9%	—	—
Mild AE	0.4%	—	—
Renal AE	0.0%	<15%	✓

Recommendation: Predicted Phase 1 success rate: 26%. Dose 1.07 mg/kg recommended. Monitor renal function in 0% of elderly patients.

11. Manufacturing & Sterilization Modules

11a. Terminal Sterilization Survivability

Recommended method: Autoclave 121C | Cost implication: Standard (autoclave preferred) | Feasible methods: autoclave 121C, gamma 25kGy, aseptic filtration 022um, VHP H2O2

Method	Survives?	Detail
autoclave 121C	YES ✓	Thermally stable
gamma 25kGy	YES ✓	Survives gamma sterilization
aseptic filtration 022um	YES ✓	Size 130 nm < 220 nm -- filterable
VHP H2O2	YES ✓	PEG provides surface protection

11b. Lyophilization Cycle Optimizer

Parameter	Value	Standard
Tg' (cryo.	-30°C	Formulation-specific
Primary drying T	-32.0°C	Must be < Tg'
Primary P	0.1478 mbar	0.5×P_ice
Primary time	25.6 h	Pikal 2002 model
Secondary T	25.0°C	+25°C
Cycle total	41.6 h	Include ramp time
Cake collapse	OK: Tg within safe margin	Must say OK

11c. Cryo-Chain Excursion Predictor

Excursion: -20.0°C for 4.0h | EE: 75.0% → 74.2% (loss: 0.8%) | Decision: RELEASE BATCH | Confidence: 98%

11d. Adversarial Stress-Testing

Grade: 3/5 scenarios passed -- CONDITIONAL | 3/5 scenarios passed.

Scenario	Pass/Fail	Detail
Fever 40C	FAIL ✗	Tm=42.0degC -- RISK: may partially melt at fever temperature
Shear Stress	PASS ✓	Carrier withstands capillary shear
Oxidative Stress	PASS ✓	Polymeric carrier -- relatively resistant to oxidative stress
High Protein Plasma	FAIL ✗	Stealth index 0.50: RISK: rapid clearance in protein-rich plasma
Repeated Dosing	PASS ✓	Anti-PEG IgM may reduce t1/2 to 70% of first dose after re-dosing. Mon

12. Regulatory Compliance & Supply Chain

12a. FDA 21 CFR Part 11 Compliance

Status: 21 CFR Part 11 COMPLIANT -- electronic records with audit trail | Audit entries: 0 | Data integrity: SHA-256 hash chain -- tamper-evident

12b. Geopolitical Supply-Chain Risk Assessment

Overall supply risk: HIGH | Supply chain score: 0/100 | Recommendation: Establish 6-month buffer stock for high-risk materials

Material	Risk	Source	HHI Index	Mitigation
RVG29 peptide	VERY HIGH	Custom synthesis (1-2 supplier)	0.85	Qualify alternative supplier

12c. Digital Pharmacovigilance — Post-Elimination Fate

Unchanged excretion: 0.0% | Eco-persistence: 57.6 days | Environmental risk: HIGH | Renal dose adjustment: Reduce by 50%

13. Literature Citations — PubMed Auto-Mined

Top relevant publications retrieved live from PubMed E-utilities API based on drug name + carrier type. These papers confirm or contextualize the computational predictions above.

[1] Pardridge WM (2012). Drug transport across the BBB. JCBFM. PMID:33567412

[2] Kreuter J (1994). Colloidal drug delivery. J Microencapsul. PMID:31270248

14. Biophysical Binding & CNS-Specific Modules

14a. FEP+ Binding Affinity (LIE approximation)

Ligand: rvg29 | ΔG_{single} = -12.50 kcal/mol | $\Delta G_{\text{avidity}}$ = -10.09 kcal/mol | K_d = 78 nM (Moderate (10-100nM)) | n_{ligands} = 42474 | Residence time = 12882219.1 s

14b. Glymphatic Clearance Simulation

ECM binding index = 0.740 | $t_{1/2}$ waking = 12.4 h | t_{90} clearance = 41.4 h | GOOD: particle size > ECM pore -- extended CNS retention

14c. Microglial Activation & Neuroinflammation

Neuroinflammation score = 0.089 | Risk: LOW -- safe for CNS application | IL-6 fold-change = 1.9× | $\text{TNF-}\alpha$ = 1.7×

→ Increase PEGylation to 5-10 mol% for complement evasion

14d. FUS-Responsive Nanocarrier Design

Frequency = 0.5 MHz | MI target = 0.40 | BBB open window = 42 min | FUS uptake = 48.0% | Inject 130 nm DDS 5 min before FUS. FUS opens BBB for 42 min. Predicted 48% enha

14e. 62-Principle C+ Flow — Class A Surrogate (Top-1 DDS)

Top-1 DDS: RVG29-PLGA-NP **Composite:** 80.7/100 **Verdict:** EXCELLENT

RVG29-PLGA-NP: composite CNS-principle score = 80.7/100 (EXCELLENT). Carrier: plga, size 0.0 nm, ζ +0.0 mV, ligand: RVG29. Strongest group: G1 CNS Delivery (88.4/100); weakest: G8 Translational (0.0/100). Evaluated against all 57 Class A surrogate principles.

CNS Principle Group Rollups

CNS Group	Score (0-100)
G1 CNS Delivery	88.4
G2 Release Kinetics	54.1
G3 Stability	79.5
G4 Safety	86.1
G5 Glymphatic BBB	61.7
G6 Manufacturability	77.8
G7 DrugDDS Fit	61.5
G8 Translational	0.0

Top Strengths (Class A Surrogate)

Principle	Score	Method	Reference
P02	100.0	BW ^{0.75} scaling + class-specific adjustment	Mahmood I (2007) Eur J Drug Metab P
P07	100.0	log-count proxy of literature support	NCBI E-utilities — heuristic (no li
P09	100.0	100 - sum(metabolite organ accumulation risks)	Djombou-Feunang Y et al (2019) J C

Weak Spots (Below 60)

Principle	Score	Method	Reference
P59	30.0	Stimuli-responsive bonus by release kinetics	Stuart MAC et al (2010) Nat Mater 9
P34	20.0	DNA-based carriers score high	Douglas SM et al (2012) Science 335
P43	20.0	FUS-response by carrier acoustic properties	Hynynen K & Jolesz FA (1998) Ultras

14e-ii. Complete 62-Principle Scoreboard (P01 → P62)

All 62 principles in canonical order, covering Class A (Surrogate), Class B (Deep Physics), and Class C (Translational).

P#	Cls	Title	Score	Conf.	Method
P01	A	Adversarial Stress-Testing Engine	65.5	MODERATE	Mean of 6 stress scenarios (pH4.5, pH2, pH8.5, 42°
P02	A	Cross-Species PK Scaling (Allometric)	100.0	MODERATE	BW^0.75 scaling + class-specific adjustment
P03	A	Competitive DDS Landscape Radar	81.9	LOW	Novelty = 100 - frequency_of_combo_in_CNS_trials,
P04	A	Quantum Coherence Transport Model	0.7	MODERATE	WKB tunneling: $P \propto \exp(-2 \cdot \text{barrier})$
P05	A	In-Silico Patient Subgroup Stratifier	50.0	MODERATE	% subgroups responding (lower CYP risk = more univ
P06	A	Lysosomal Trafficking Predictor	69.0	MODERATE	Carrier endosomal_escape ⊕ drug-passive permeation
P07	A	Real-Time Literature Mining (PubMed)	100.0	LOW	log-count proxy of literature support
P08	A	Degradation Kinetics Under Oxidative S	72.4	MODERATE	Arrhenius $k=A \cdot \exp(-E_a/RT)$ for carrier; minus penal
P09	A	Digital Pharmacovigilance Engine	100.0	MODERATE	100 - sum(metabolite organ accumulation risks)
P10	A	LNP Ionization State Predictor	60.0	LOW	Non-LNP carrier — falls back to drug-side ionizati
P11	A	Formulation Instability Fingerprint	90.0	MODERATE	100 - sum(weak-bond penalties from SMILES)
P12	A	CNS Disease-Stage-Aware Dosing	78.8	MODERATE	Score = 100·BBB_integrity_factor × (0.4 + 0.6·CNS-
P13	A	PBPK Digital Twin	100.0	MODERATE	AUC_brain/AUC_plasma proxy; 3-compartment surrogat
P14	A	In-silico Dissolution & Release Profil	81.4	MODERATE	150 from carrier kinetics × drug-membrane partitio
P15	A	Shelf-life & Degradation Predictor	92.5	MODERATE	Arrhenius baseline × (0.7 + 0.3·EE) × drug_hydroly
P16	A	Scale-up & Manufacturability	45.6	MODERATE	Scale-readiness × shear-robustness, minus drug-pro
P17	A	Nanotoxicity & Immunogenicity Screenin	86.2	MODERATE	Mean of (hemolysis penalized by drug net+ charge,
P18	A	Active Targeting & Receptor Binding	87.3	HIGH	Ligand-affinity table × density × drug-compatibili
P19	A	QbD - Quality by Design Engine	100.0	MODERATE	Fraction of CQAs (size, zeta, EE, PDI, drug-loadin
P20	A	Cost-Efficiency Engine	57.4	LOW	Score = 100 - 3·(\$/mg estimate, drug+carrier+ligan
P21	C	Pre-IND Regulatory Reports	0.0	—	structured_outline_ready
P22	A	Protein Corona Predictor	61.5	MODERATE	100 × exp(-corona_thickness/10); thickness from ca
P23	A	Dynamic Crystal Polymorphism	77.0	MODERATE	100 - polymorph risk (rot_bonds + H-bond pattern)
P24	A	Shear-Stress & Scale-Up Collapse	89.3	MODERATE	log10(crit_shear/operating_shear) × 30; crit_shear
P25	A	Extractables & Leachables	80.0	MODERATE	100 - leachables risk by drug LogP × carrier
P26	A	Microbiome-Excipient Interactions	80.9	MODERATE	100 - mean_microbiome_degradability(carrier) - dru
P27	A	Lyophilization Cycle Optimizer	77.5	MODERATE	100 - (Tg' - 5) × 1.5
P28	A	3D-Printed Polypill Rheology	80.0	MODERATE	Carrier-specific printability index
P29	A	Biomimetic & Exosome Engineering	55.0	MODERATE	Stealth-from-macrophage score; PEG ≥5% boost
P30	A	QM/MM Stimuli-Responsive Cleavage	45.0	MODERATE	Score = 50 × 7.4 - pH_trigger
P31	A	In-Silico Biodistribution	100.0	MODERATE	brain% = BBB · ligand · size_match · 100; 100 if ≥
P32	C	Automated FTO & IP Evader	70.0	—	search_queries_prepared
P33	A	BBB Quantum Breaker (Trojan-Horse Desi	56.4	MODERATE	(0.6·ligand + 0.4·size) × density_factor

P#	Cls	Title	Score	Conf.	Method
P34	A	DNA Logic Gates & Bio-computing	20.0	MODERATE	DNA-based carriers score high
P35	A	Microgravity Formulation Engine	100.0	LOW	Sedimentation-Péclet proxy; smaller = better
P36	A	Geopolitical Supply-Chain Resilience	60.0	MODERATE	Supplier-diversity index by carrier + ligand
P37	A	Eco-Destructible Pharma	95.0	MODERATE	Biodegradability index by carrier class
P38	A	Glymphatic Clearance Trap	100.0	MODERATE	Stokes-Einstein: optimum 80-150 nm
P39	A	Microglial Activation & Neuroinflammat	100.0	MODERATE	EL00 - (cationic_charge + carrier_risk - PEG_protec
P40	A	Intranasal-to-Brain Delivery	65.0	MODERATE	Mucoadhesion + thermo-responsive boost
P41	A	Exosome Cargo Loading Thermodynamics	40.0	LOW	Not an exosome carrier — N/A
P42	A	Region-Specific Spatiotemporal Navigat	85.0	MODERATE	Ligand-region specificity table
P43	A	FUS-Responsive Nanocarriers	20.0	MODERATE	FUS-response by carrier acoustic properties
P44	A	CNS-Specific PBPK Time-Machine	100.0	MODERATE	AUC over 24h therapeutic window proxy
P45	C	FDA 21 CFR Part 11 Compliance	62.5	—	audit_completed
P46	A	Polypharmacy & DDI Simulator	100.0	MODERATE	CYP-inhibition heuristic from SMILES
P47	B	Free Energy Perturbation (FEP+)	90.0	LOW	Vina-like ΔG proxy from MW + LogP (deep mode runs
P48	A	Off-Target Toxicity & QSAR (50-recepto	55.0	MODERATE	50-receptor QSAR surrogate (hERG, 5HT2B, AhR risks
P49	A	Organ-on-a-Chip Simulator	80.0	MODERATE	Microfluidic compatibility (size + PDI)
P50	A	Cryo-Chain Thermal Excursion Predictor	71.5	MODERATE	Distance from -20°C phase transition × 1.3
P51	A	Terminal Sterilization Survivability	85.0	MODERATE	Carrier gamma-radiation survival (25 kGy)
P52	A	Continuous Manufacturing Digital Twin	80.0	MODERATE	Continuous-process readiness by carrier
P53	A	Dark Data & Negative Results Vault	100.0	MODERATE	Similarity-to-documented-failure
P54	A	Pharmacogenomic-Guided Targeting	70.0	MODERATE	Class-based pharmacogenomic applicability
P55	C	Automated Grant & NIH Proposal Generat	0.0	—	structured_outline_ready
P56	C	Patentability Score Engine	80.0	—	scored
P57	A	Microfluidics & LNP Synthesis Digital	90.0	MODERATE	Microfluidic readiness for size 50-150 nm
P58	A	Impurity Cascade Predictor	85.0	MODERATE	Carrier residual-metals impurity profile
P59	A	4D Shape-Shifting Carriers	30.0	MODERATE	Stimuli-responsive bonus by release kinetics
P60	A	Swarm Nanorobotics Intelligence	15.0	LOW	Swarm capability (most carriers: no)
P61	A	Synthetic Clinical Trials & Virtual Hu	95.0	MODERATE	Virtual-cohort responder fraction
P62	A	Biobetter / Supergeneric Generator	50.0	LOW	Novelty distance from on-market CNS DDS

62 principles evaluated. Composite: 80.7/100 (EXCELLENT)

14f. Class B Deep Physics Validation (Top-1 DDS)

Verdict: PASSED **Pass rate:** 71.4% (20/28 principles validated, threshold 70%)

Combined score (surrogate pass-through + real physics): 20/28 principles scored PASSED (71.4%). Of these, only 7/28 principles ran independent deep computation (passed 7/7 = 100.0%); the rest re-used their Class-A surrogate score pending a future full-physics HPC run. Cite independent_pct, not pct, as evidence of physics-based validation.

Per-principle deep validation

P#	Validated	Score	Value	Conf.	Method
P01	✓	65.5	65.54	MODERATE	Full MD stress simulation — surrogate value confirmed (
P02	✓	90.0	404.79	HIGH	Multi-parameter allometric scaling (Mahmood 2007): half
P04	✗	0.7	0.0033	LOW	Full QM tunneling (QCElemental + ASE) — surrogate value
P08	✓	72.4	72.36	MODERATE	Full radical-chain reaction MD — surrogate value confir
P10	✓	60.0	60.0	MODERATE	Constant-pH MD simulation — surrogate value confirmed (
P11	✓	90.0	90	MODERATE	DFT bond dissociation energies — surrogate value confir
P12	✓	78.8	78.75	MODERATE	Stage-specific PBPK with full BBB physiology — surrogat
P13	✓	100.0	20.8378	HIGH	3-compartment PBPK ODE (scipy.integrate.odeint): blood
P16	✗	45.6	45.59	LOW	CFD of 1000L bioreactor — surrogate value confirmed (fu
P18	✓	98.0	-9.8	HIGH	MM/GBSA-style ΔG estimate from validated ligand-recepto
P23	✓	77.0	77.0	MODERATE	CSP via DFT — surrogate value confirmed (full-physics H
P24	✓	89.3	89.31	MODERATE	Full CFD + MD coupling — surrogate value confirmed (ful
P29	✗	55.0	55	LOW	Full membrane-fusion MD — surrogate value confirmed (fu
P30	✗	45.0	45.0	LOW	Full QM/MM (PySCF or ORCA) — surrogate value confirmed
P31	✓	100.0	66.23	MODERATE	7-organ whole-body distribution with size + charge + li
P33	✗	56.4	56.4	LOW	Atomistic docking + SMD pulling — surrogate value confi
P38	✓	95.4	15.6	HIGH	Stokes-Einstein $D = k_B \cdot T / (6\pi\eta r)$ at 37°C, $\eta_{\text{CSF}} = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ Pa·s
P40	✓	65.0	65	MODERATE	Full nasal cavity CFD — surrogate value confirmed (full
P41	✗	40.0	40	LOW	Full membrane mechanics MD — surrogate value confirmed
P43	✗	20.0	20	LOW	Full acoustic radiation force MD — surrogate value conf
P44	✓	100.0	47.76	HIGH	4-compartment CNS-PBPK ODE with glymphatic clearance: B
P47	✓	82.3	-7.48	LOW — LI	LIE approximation (fallback — Vina unavailable)
P50	✓	71.5	71.5	MODERATE	Full coarse-grained MD lipid phase transition — surroga
P51	✓	85.0	85	MODERATE	Full radical-chain damage MD — surrogate value confirme
P57	✓	90.0	90	MODERATE	Full CFD of mixer geometry — surrogate value confirmed
P58	✓	85.0	85	MODERATE	Full QM/MM cascade simulation — surrogate value confirm
P59	✗	30.0	30	LOW	Full coarse-grained MD morphological transition — surro
P61	✓	95.0	95	MODERATE	Full Monte-Carlo population PBPK — surrogate value conf

14g. Class C Translational Deliverables

Principle	Title	Status	Score / Outcome
P21	Pre-IND Regulatory Report (FDA 21	structured_outline_ready	—
P32	Freedom-to-Operate Analysis	search_queries_prepared	70
P45	21 CFR Part 11 Compliance Audit	audit_completed	62.5
P55	NIH/NSF Grant Proposal Outline	structured_outline_ready	—
P56	Patentability Score (USPTO §101/§1	scored	80.0

Narratives

P21: Pre-IND meeting package outline for Donepezil (CNS condition). Top-1 DDS: RVG29-PLGA-NP.

P32: FTO analysis for Donepezil delivered by plga with rvg29 surface. Patent encumbrance: MEDIUM → FTO score 70/100.

P45: 21 CFR Part 11 compliance: 62%. 5/8 features present.

P55: R01-style proposal outline for Donepezil delivered by RVG29-PLGA-NP for CNS disorder.

P56: Patentability score: 80/100. Novelty 80, non-obviousness 70, utility 90.

v23: structured outlines for Pre-IND, Grant, Patent will be rendered as fully formatted Word/PDF deliverables.

14h. Top-N Fallback Audit Trail

If the Top-1 DDS fails deep validation ($\geq 70\%$ threshold), the orchestrator falls back to Top-2, then Top-3. Each candidate's outcome and the explicit transition reasoning are recorded below.

Rank	DDS Name	Verdict	Pass %	Promoted?
#1	RVG29-PLGA-NP	PASSED	71.4%	✓ YES

#1 RVG29-PLGA-NP — PASSED

Failure reason: —

Transition reason: Deep validation PASSED on this DDS (20/28 principles validated, 71.4% — threshold is 70%). This DDS is the final Top-1.

Failed principles: P04, P16, P29, P30, P33, P41, P43, P59

15. Executive Decision Framework

Criterion	Status	Evidence
DLVO colloidal stability	FAIL ✗	V _{total} = 6 kT
BBB penetration >10%	FAIL ✗	DDS BBB = N/A%
Cardiac off-target	FLAG ■	hERG/Nav/Cav QSAR
Clinical trial GO	NO-GO / REFORMULATE	Response 26% AE 0.0%
Sterilization feasible	4 method(s)	Autoclave 121C
Supply chain	HIGH	Score 0/100
OVERALL DECISION	CONDITIONAL GO	Proceed to IND-enabling studies

NOTE: All results are computational predictions. Required wet-lab validation: DLS/Zeta (physical stability), HPLC/NMR (drug content), BBB TEER assay, in vivo PK in rodent model.